Engineering Design 4WBB0

2017-2018



Group number: 96

		\sim
Name	Student ID	
S.E.M. Baars	1006616	
V.J. Slegt	1016684	
D.T. van Blijderveen	1007827	
A.E. Pol	1016397	
B.B. Zwaan	1008448	
B.D.A.M. Loeffen	0995444	

1. Group Effectivity (max 2 pages).	

2. Requirements, Preferences and Constraints		
Requirements		
The maximum height and width of the device:	30 x 30 cm	
The device can reach a top speed of least:	2 m/s	
The device can transport minimum of:	1 casualty	
The battery life of the device is at least:	15 minutes	
The device is able to turn:	360 degrees	
The device can transport at least	500 grams.	
Preferences		
Transport of casualties	As safe as possible	
Waterproof	Able to drive through small puddles	
Weight	As light as possible	
Constraints		
Wireless communication	Wi-Fi using the Arduino	
Manufacturing	Cost less than 70 euro's	
Working environment	Ability to operate in disaster areas	

3. Functions	
Move in any direction	Option 1. Tracks
The ROD can move and turn	Option 2. Wheels like a car
360 degrees.	Option 3. Flying using
	propellers
	Ontion 4 Elving using hot air
	Option 5 Hoover
	Option 6 Tump like a frog
	Option 7. Dolling like a holl
	Option 7. Rolling like a ball
	Option 8. Wheels - three wheels
	on each side
	Option 9. Spider legs
	Option 10.Legs like a human
Boarding casualties	Option 1. Vacuum balloon
The device can board a	gripper
casualty	Option 2. V-shaped grapping arm
	Option 3. Fork lift
	Option 4. Shovels sideways
	Option 5. Grapping them with
	sponges
	Option 6. A stinking arm
	Option 7. Sucking up the
	casualties
	Option 8. Conveyor belt
	Option 9. A rope
	Option 10.Reverse windscreen
	- wiper mechanism
	·
Transport casualties	Option 1. Holding the casualty
The ROD can transport a	in the boarding
casualty from the disaster	device
area to a save	Option 2. Net on top of the
environment.	robot
	Option 3. Hammocks
	Option 4. Soft platform on
	which the casualties
	lia
	Option 5 A storage compartment
	inside the DOD
	Option 6 Dragging along a soft
	option 6. Dragging along a SOIt
	pillow like platform
	Option 7. A ball pit with balls
	ot toam
	Option 8. A big box on top of
	the robot with slots
	Option 9. A box with layers on
	top of each other
	Option 10.Bunk beds
Remove/avoid obstacles	Option 1. Blowing debris away
Remove/avoid obstacles The device can clear an	Option 1. Blowing debris away Option 2. Broom/sweepers
Remove/avoid obstacles The device can clear an area of any other	Option 1. Blowing debris away Option 2. Broom/sweepers Option 3. Explosives

restricting objects or	obstacles away
debrig or can avoid them	Option 5 Jump over obstacles
debits of call avoid chem.	Option 6 A ghowal
	Option 6. A Shover
	Option 7. A nammer
	Option 8. A grabbing device
	Option 9. Snowplow
	Option 10.Suction cup arm
Move in different velocities	Option 1. Brakes
The device can move in	Option 2. Block in fort of
different velocities to	wheels to stop
get to casualties fast but	Option 3. Use a motor that can
is able to approach them	control its RMP's
slowly	Option 4. Change the ROD's
	weight
	Ontion 5 A Gear box
	Option 6 Use different size
	wheeld
	Option 7 Has a blower on beth
	option /. Use a blower on both
	sides to slow down
	Option 8. An electronic
	resistor
	Option 9. Having two motors
	Option 10.Have different power
	sources with
	different max output.
Search for casualties	Option 1. Camera
The ROD can scan the	Option 2. Infra-red vision
environment and find	Option 3. High frequencies
casualties	echoing like bats
	Option 4. Night vision
	Option 5. Lights
	Option 6 Heat sensor
	Option 7 Motion sensor
	Option 8 360 degree gamera
	Option & Poder
	Option 10 Dropa that areas the
	option in Drone that scans the
	environment from
	above.
Communication	Option 1. Wi-Fi
The device can communicate	Option 2. Smoke signals
with the controller.	Ontion 2 Manage rede
	option 3. Morse code
	Option 4. Bluetooth
	Option 3. Morse code Option 4. Bluetooth Option 5. Infra-red sender
	Option 3. Morse code Option 4. Bluetooth Option 5. Infra-red sender Option 6. Radio signals
	Option 3. Morse code Option 4. Bluetooth Option 5. Infra-red sender Option 6. Radio signals Option 7. GPS
	Option 3. Morse code Option 4. Bluetooth Option 5. Infra-red sender Option 6. Radio signals Option 7. GPS Option 8. 3G/4G network
	Option 3. Morse code Option 4. Bluetooth Option 5. Infra-red sender Option 6. Radio signals Option 7. GPS Option 8. 3G/4G network Option 9 Using an electric
	Option 3. Morse code Option 4. Bluetooth Option 5. Infra-red sender Option 6. Radio signals Option 7. GPS Option 8. 3G/4G network Option 9. Using an electric
	Option 3. Morse code Option 4. Bluetooth Option 5. Infra-red sender Option 6. Radio signals Option 7. GPS Option 8. 3G/4G network Option 9. Using an electric field

We each made 5 simple prototypes for each of the functions: move in any directions, board casualties, trasport casualties, remove obstacles, move in diffent velocities, find casualties.





Find casualties



We choose transporting the casualties as our specialization function, because we felt this function has the most space for creativity. Possible solutions are: a drag-a-long platform, a storage space inside the ROD, hammocks, a large net and a ball pit.



Our preliminary design is a ROD that picks up the casualties with a grabbing device that can stick to the victim and push them off into the storage box. The storage box is a ball pit, but instead of hard plastic balls it contains soft cushion like foam balls, to make the transportation more humane. The ROD travels two set of three wheels and one swivel wheel. The sets of three wheels can



turn around its axis. When the ROD bumps into an obstacle the wheels turn and will 'climb' the obstacle, the swivel wheel gives the ROD balance. Because we will build our ROD with an earthquake environment in mind, there is a roof above the foam pit to make sure the casualties we will transport in the ROD will stay safe.

Arguments for our movement function: Three wheels make for a stable construction that moves well around rough terrain. Due to the two sets of three wheels the vehicle is stable, and moves well around rough terrain. This also creates the possibility to move over obstacles and get to different levels of height.

Arguments for our boarding function: The sticker arm can pick up victims precisely and move them to the foam pit, with the push off mechanism on the sticker arm the robot can transport multiple victims.

Arguments for our specialization function, transportation: A Foam pit is a good way to transport victims since it is comfortable and has enough space. Inside the foam pit each casualty will have his on separate foam pit, which gives the casualty privacy and makes sure each casualty has enough personal space. The roof can protect the robot and the casualties against falling rocks as well as bad weather conditions.

The RPC's can all be satisfied according to our preliminary design:

Requirements

The height and width of the vehicle do not exceed 30cm.	The design was 32 x 25 cm but this was only because the Lego prohibited us from making the roof lower in the final design this will not be the case.
The device is able to reach a top speed of at least 2 m/s.	In the preliminary design there were no motor, therefore we cannot say we will achieve this with certainty. However with a motor strong enough this will not be a problem.
The device is able to transport at least 1 casualty.	The foam pit can board more than 1 casualty.
The battery life of the device is at least 15 minutes.	The preliminary design did not need any power therefore this is still uncertain.
The device is able to turn 360°.	By giving each set of wheels its own motor, the controller can only power one set of the wheels and the device will be able to turn 360 degrees.
Preferences	
Casualties are transported as safe as possible.	It is still unclear if this preference is satisfied because the safety of the grabbing device is not determined.
The device is waterproof enough to drive through small puddles.	The wheels can handle puddles and thus this preference is satisfied

The device is as light as possible.	This depends on the materials used and is therefore uncertain.
Constraints	
The device can be manufactured for less than 70 euro.	All the material needed are not incredibly expensive and it is expected that this constraint will be easily satisfied.
The device is able to operate in a disaster area.	Because of the wheels and the roof the ROD is able the operate in a disaster area

Out of the preliminary design we can conclude that our ROD has three critical points which will need special attention in the detailing and realization step. The three critical points are as follows:

Sticking arm

The first critical point in our design is the sticking arm which will be difficult to make but crucial to our ROD. Our challenge is to find a material that sticks enough to hold a doll but not so much that it would be hard to remove the doll. This material also needs to be able to pick up other dolls, meaning that it still needs to stick. This arm mechanism is a critical point too, because this arm need to be able to move very slowly and carefully and should also be flexible. Additionally, it needs to be able to drop the doll in the foam pit and therefore needs to fit between the ball pit and the roof.

Wheels

Another critical point is going to be the wheels. These wheels will need a strong motor to work. This might be hard to find and could be expensive.

Camera

A third critical point is placing the camera. This will be hard because the camera needs to be able to scan the environment to find casualties. Furthermore, the camera also needs to see inside the foam pit to be able to see if it can drop the casualty.

6a. Risk management

Give a maximum one page table for the risk management of the function solutions in your chosen Preliminary Design on the three dimensions (probability, impact, control).

6b. Detailing

The three most important parts of the ROD are the grabbing arm, wheels and the frame which contains the foam pit.

For the frame we started with two layers of 20 x 30 cm. The bottom layer will act as chassis and all the batteries and motors will be placed on this layer. The sides of this layer are 5 cm. The second layer is the bottom of the ball pit and the platform on which the grabbing arm will be stationed. According to a picture in the course manual, we can conclude that the dolls are smaller than 12 cm in height and smaller than 6 cm in width. In our calculations we assumed that each doll would need 15 cm in length and 8 in width for comfortable transportation. We would like to transport 3 casualties at the same time. In width we would need at least 3 times 8 cm and 15 cm in length. This means the box need to be as least 24 x 15. This meant the first design was to small in width, the new dimensions became 25 x 30 cm. The wheels take up at least 5 cm in width, the first layer will have notches for the wheels, this is to make sure the ROD does not exceed the 30 cm width limit.

Explain the most important steps taken in the detailing process. Do this for at least three of them in more detail and include basic calculations. Show the use of the detailing results in the optimization of the design.

6c. Assembly

Show how you performed the fittest of the components and how you did test the component functionality using a breadboard. This must clearly show the steps taken in the development you're your Preliminary Design towards final ROD. Provide adequate pictures and drawings of these steps.

7. Realization

Give a maximum three page description of your final, optimized design by:

- Table of parts with budget (Bill of Materials)
- Table of used manufacturing techniques
- Use drawings and picture to show both your design and important details.

The given description must be adequate to reproduce your ROD.

8. Test Plan

Describe and explain a set of experiments to test several critical functions of your design. Present the results of the tests and compare the results with the original RPC's.

9. Design evaluation

Evaluate your design in the context of the original assignment. Point out the most critical step in the design procedure. Show how you used risk management to optimize the result. Suggest at least three improvements in the design and at which point of the design cycle these should be implemented.

The major challenge in this step is to not be defensive: being open to criticize your own results is a valuable skill!